

Name of respondent / 回應者名稱: Simon Godfrey

Name of organization / 機構名稱: Arris Partners Ltd.

Q1: Yes / 支持

Q1 Comment / 意見: Yes - I believe that this option is useful for many participants who do not have adequate knowledge to make their choice amongst the existing vehicles. It should be simple, designed with the participant's final income objective in mind and cost effective.

Q2: Yes / 同意

Q2 Comment / 意見: -

Q3: Yes / 是

Q3 Comment / 意見: -

Q4: Yes / 同意

Q4 Comment / 意見: The notion of risk needs to be clearly understood. Over a full market cycle (7-10 years) the risk of losing capital is diminished and investors need a balanced exposure to risk assets even up to retirement, due to longer life expectancy. So something more sophisticated than a simple glide path may be necessary. Adjusting to prevailing market conditions and expected returns would be useful.

Q5 Comment / 意見: Some elements should be left to providers in order to harness choice. We cannot be ultimately sure which will be the best approach over the next 40 years and because over-centralisation/standardisation now could make it difficult to change the specifications to changing conditions over time.

Q6: Yes / 同意

Q6 Comment / 意見: As long as the providers are adequately compensated and incentivised to do a good job. All investing is the act of providing capital to current economic projects in order to benefit from an income stream later. If the providers are not paid adequately to do this, they will not do a good enough job in my view. It is also as much a case of transparency of the fees and oversight on how they are attributed as the absolute amount (and this can be adjusted over time).

Q7: Yes / 同意

Q7 Comment / 意見: As long as the providers are adequately compensated and incentivised to do a good job. All investing is the act of providing capital to current projects in order to benefit from an income stream later. If the providers are not paid adequately to do this, they will not do a good enough job.

Q8: No / 不同意

Q8 Comment / 意見: There is no reason to suggest that these will allocate capital the most efficiently or successfully over the lifetime of the average participant (Poly U study from 2008-2013 is too short, therefore not significant). But they have their place in the overall portfolio as part of the equity allocation to reduce the global cost.

Q9 Comment / 意見: Many types of fixed income securities which are OTC traded for example and real assets which have a place in a diversified portfolio. Some areas of the equity market e.g small caps cannot be passively managed.

Q10: Yes / 同意

Q10 Comment / 意見: Participants should be invited to confirm their choice (to understand how their savings are being invested - even if just in the Basic fund) on an annual basis.

Q10 Preference / 較可取的名稱: MPF Basic Investment Fund (emphasizing its design as a basic investment approach for retirement savings)

Q11: Yes / 同意

Q11 Comment / 意見: -

Q12: Yes / 同意

Q12 Comment / 意見: -