

Name of respondent / 回應者名稱: -

Q1: Yes / 支持

Q1 Comment / 意見: Yes, so long as it employes passive ETF's as the core and is priced to reflect this (MAX 0.3% TER).

Q2: Yes / 同意

Q2 Comment / 意見: -

Q3: Yes / 是

Q3 Comment / 意見: Yes, so long as it employes passive ETF's as the core and is proced to reflect this.

Q4: Yes / 同意

Q4 Comment / 意見: -

Q5 Comment / 意見: There should be a single unified approach that is centrally managed to save money regardless of product provider. Mirroring the HKMA Exchange Fund for example.

Q6: No / 不同意

Q6 Comment / 意見: How can 0.75% be justified when an ETF can be run for 0.1%??? C'mon!

Q7: No / 不同意

Q7 Comment / 意見: How can 1% be justified when an ETF can be have a TER 0.2%??? C'mon!

Q8: Yes / 同意

Q8 Comment / 意見: -

Q9 Comment / 意見: No.

Q10: Yes / 同意

Q10 Comment / 意見: -

Q10 Preference / 較可取的名稱: MPF Core Fund (having regard to its use as a core investment approach for retirement savings)

Q11: Yes / 同意

Q11 Comment / 意見: -

Q12: Yes / 同意

Q12 Comment / 意見: -