

Name of respondent / 回應者名稱: -

Q1: Yes / 支持

Q1 Comment / 意見: However instead of creating a new core fund the MPF members should be given the choice to invest in the Tracker Fund, which has a clear track record and low management fee.

Q2: Yes / 同意

Q2 Comment / 意見: -

Q3: Yes / 是

Q3 Comment / 意見: There is no reason to create a new core fund. Just use the listed Tracker Fund.

Q4: No / 不同意

Q4 Comment / 意見: The past decade has taught investors that risks are highly unpredictable. Even investing in cash deposits carry risk of currency exchange rate and inflation. Instead of creating an excuse for the fund manager to charge more fees it is obviously better to simply invest in the Tracker Fund. Period.

Q5 Comment / 意見: No comment.

Q6: No / 不同意

Q6 Comment / 意見: The problem is not only the fee, but the performance of the fund. If the fund underperforms the index then even if the fee is low does not help. It is very clear and unquestionable that the lowest fee fund which closely track the index is the Tracker Fund.

Q7: No / 不同意

Q7 Comment / 意見: Same as Question 6.

Q8: Yes / 同意

Q8 Comment / 意見: Use the listed Tracker Fund.

Q9 Comment / 意見: No comment.

Q10: Yes / 同意

Q10 Comment / 意見: -

Q10 Preference / 較可取的名稱: MPF Core Fund (having regard to its use as a core investment approach for retirement savings)

Q11: Yes / 同意

Q11 Comment / 意見: -

Q12: Yes / 同意

Q12 Comment / 意見: -