Name of respondent / 回應者名稱: -

Q1: Yes / 支持

Q1 Comment / 意見: more choices including index-tracking fund should be included for all MPF plan in order to lower the fund expense ration and market-competitive fund performance

Q2: No / 不同意

Q2 Comment / 意見: -

Q3: No/否

Q3 Comment / 意見: -

Q4: No / 不同意

Q4 Comment / 意見: people can make their own decision on risk taking level. No need to reduce the risk factor automatically

Q5 Comment / 意見: -

Q6: Yes / 同意

Q6 Comment / 意見: should be even lower than 0.5%, like the Vanguard ETF: https://personal.vanguard.com/us/funds/snapshot?FundId=0970&FundIntExt=INT0

Q7: Yes / 同意

Q7 Comment / 意見: -

Q8: Yes / 同意

Q8 Comment / 意見: -

Q9 Comment / 意見: -

Q10: Yes / 同意

Q10 Comment / 意見: -

Q10 Preference / 較可取的名稱: MPF Core Fund (having regard to its use as a core investment approach for retirement savings) and MPF A Investment Fund (or some other term which removes any implications about the nature of the strategy)

Q11: Yes / 同意

Q11 Comment / 意見: -

Q12: Yes / 同意

Q12 Comment / 意見: -