

Name of respondent / 回應者名稱: Icy Cheung

Q1: No / 不支持

Q1 Comment / 意見: Some MPF providers currently offer Target Date Funds and demand appears low. Uncertainty on interest from MPF members, which reminds me of big push on employee choice and transfer remains low.

Q2: Yes / 同意

Q2 Comment / 意見: -

Q3: Yes / 是

Q3 Comment / 意見: -

Q4: No / 不同意

Q4 Comment / 意見: it would be difficult to determine without having full picture of financial situation of member.

Q5 Comment / 意見: I believe it should be a standard approach in order to avoid confusion to members.

Q6: No / 不同意

Q6 Comment / 意見: I believe it should be market driven to price the fee.

Q7: No / 不同意

Q7 Comment / 意見: I believe it should be market driven to price the fee.

Q8: Yes / 同意

Q8 Comment / 意見: -

Q9 Comment / 意見: -

Q10: Yes / 同意

Q10 Comment / 意見: -

Q10 Preference / 較可取的名稱: MPF Core Fund (having regard to its use as a core investment approach for retirement savings)

Q11: No / 不同意

Q11 Comment / 意見: I believe it should only apply to new contribution, if member decide to switch. it would be less disruptive to member.

Q12: No / 不同意

Q12 Comment / 意見: I believe it should only be apply to new contribution, if member decide to switch. it would be less disruptive to member.