

Name of respondent / 回應者名稱: -

Q1: Yes / 支持

Q1 Comment / 意見: -

Q2: No / 不同意

Q2 Comment / 意見: -

Q3: No / 否

Q3 Comment / 意見: -

Q4: No / 不同意

Q4 Comment / 意見: -

Q5 Comment / 意見: -

Q6: Yes / 同意

Q6 Comment / 意見: -

Q7: Yes / 同意

Q7 Comment / 意見: -

Q8: Yes / 同意

Q8 Comment / 意見: I would like to see low total expense, index based passive strategies across a range of global equity markets to be made available to all MPF participants. Can we adopt the UK's National Employment Savings Trust (NEST) as a model, as it offers excellent value and choice for retirement savings without burdening public finances and provides an impetus to private sector firms to provide better value choices?

Q9 Comment / 意見: fixed income, commodities, private equity, Chinese A shares

Q10: No / 不同意

Q10 Comment / 意見: -

Q10 Preference / 較可取的名稱: MPF Default Investment Fund (reinforcing that its primary design is built around the default investment strategy for those who do not, or do not want to make an investment choice in saving for retirement)

Q11: Yes / 同意

Q11 Comment / 意見: -

Q12: Yes / 同意

Q12 Comment / 意見: -