

Name of respondent / 回應者名稱: -

Q1: Yes / 支持

Q1 Comment / 意見: -

Q2: Yes / 同意

Q2 Comment / 意見: -

Q3: Yes / 是

Q3 Comment / 意見: -

Q4: No / 不同意

Q4 Comment / 意見: Allow individuals to select their degree of risk taking

Q5 Comment / 意見: None

Q6: No / 不同意

Q6 Comment / 意見: Absurdly high. Vanguard's Total Stock Index fund has an expense ratio of 0.05%. Your proposal is 15x the price of Vanguard! Way too generous to the mutual fund managers.

Q7: No / 不同意

Q7 Comment / 意見: Absurdly high. Vanguard's Total Stock Index fund has an expense ratio of 0.05%. Your proposal is too generous to the mutual fund managers.

Q8: Yes / 同意

Q8 Comment / 意見: -

Q9 Comment / 意見: -

Q10: Yes / 同意

Q10 Comment / 意見: -

Q10 Preference / 較可取的名稱: MPF Core Fund (having regard to its use as a core investment approach for retirement savings) and MPF Basic Investment Fund (emphasizing its design as a basic investment approach for retirement savings)

Q11: -

Q11 Comment / 意見: -

Q12: -

Q12 Comment / 意見: -